# BEFORE THE PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation against:	)	
SANDI LEE ALTMILLER, P.A.	)	Case No. 950-2022-003655
Physician Assistant License No. PA 13958	)	
Respondent.	)	

## ORDER CORRECTING NUNC PRO TUNC CLERICAL ERRORS IN "EFFECTIVE DATE" AND "ORDERED DATE" OF DECISION

On its own motion, the Physician Assistant Board (hereafter "Board") finds that there are clerical errors in the "effective date" and "ordered date" portions of the Default Decision and Order in the above-entitled matter and that such clerical errors should be corrected.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the effective date and the ordered date contained on page 3 of the Default Decision and Order in the above-entitled matter be and hereby is amended and corrected nunc pro tunc as of the date of entry of the order to read:

- "This Decision shall become effective on December 30, 2022, at 5:00 p.m."
- "It is so ORDERED December 1, 2022."

IT IS SO ORDERED December 9, 2022.

PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT BOARD

Juan Armenta, President

1	ROB BONTA					
2	Attorney General of California KIM KASRELIOVICH					
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ARTIN DEROHANIAN					
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 266131 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013					
5						
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6561 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804					
7	Attorneys for Complainant					
8	REFOR	E THE				
9	BEFORE THE PHYSICIAN ASSISTANT BOARD					
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
11						
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against,	Case No. 950-2022-003655				
13	SANDI LEE ALTMILLER, P.A.					
14	2709 Puder Street Bakersfield, CA 93306-4653	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER				
15	Physician Assistant License No. PA 13958	[Gov. Code, §11520]				
16	Respondent.					
17						
18	FINDINGS OF FACT					
19	1. On or about September 23, 2022, Complainant Rozana Khan, in her official capacity					
20	as the Executive Officer of the Physician Assistant Board, Department of Consumer Affairs, filed					
21	Accusation No. 950-2022-003655 against SANDI LEE ALTMILLER, P.A. (Respondent) before					
22	the Physician Assistant Board.					
23	2. On or about January 13, 1997, the Physician Assistant Board (Board) issued					
24	Physician Assistant License No. PA 13958 to Respondent. The Physician Assistant License was					
25	in full force and effect at all times relevant to the	charges brought herein, and expired on August				
26	31, 2022.					
27	3. On or about September 23, 2022, Chr	istina Haydon, an employee of the Complainant				
28	Agency, served by Certified Mail a copy of the Accusation No. 950-2022-003655, Statement to					
		1				

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Exhibit A
25	
26	Accusation No. 950-2022-003655,
27	Related Documents and Declaration of Service
28	
	5 CANDILEE ALTMILLED DA
	SANDI LEE ALTMILLER, P.A. DEFAULT DECISION & ORDER (950-2022-003655)

1	Rob Bonta				
2	Attorney General of California KIM KASRELIOVICH				
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ARTIN DEROHANIAN				
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 266131				
5	State Bar No. 266131 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013				
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6561 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804				
7	E-mail: Artin.DerOhanian@doj.ca.gov  Attorneys for Complainant				
8	BEFOR	E THE			
9	PHYSICIAN ASSI DEPARTMENT OF CO				
10	STATE OF CA				
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 950-2022-003655			
12	SANDI LEE ALTMILLER, P.A.				
13	2709 Puder Street Bakersfield, CA 93306-4653	ACCUSATION			
14	Physician Assistant License No. PA 13958				
15	Respondent.				
16					
17					
18	PART	<u>ries</u>			
19	1. Rozana Khan (Complainant) brings th	nis Accusation solely in her official capacity as			
20	the Executive Officer of the Physician Assistant E	Board (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs			
21	2. On or about January 13, 1997, the Bo	ard issued Physician Assistant License Number			
22	PA 13958 to Sandi Lee Altmiller, P.A. (Respondent). The Physician Assistant License was in				
23	full force and effect at all times relevant to the ch	arges brought herein and expired on August 31,			
24	2022.				
25	JURISDI	ICTION			
26	3. This Accusation is brought before the	Board under the authority of the following			
27	laws. All section references are to the Business a	nd Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise			
28	indicated.				
	,	1			

- 4. Section 3501, subdivision (a), of the Code states:
  - (a) As used in this chapter: "Board" means the Physician Assistant Board.
- 5. Section 3504.1 of the Code states in pertinent part:

Protection of the public shall be the highest priority for the Physician Assistant Board in exercising its licensing, regulatory, and disciplinary functions.

- 6. Section 3527 of the Code states:
- (a) The board may order the denial of an application for, or the issuance subject to terms and conditions of, or the suspension or revocation of, or the imposition of probationary conditions upon a PA license after a hearing as required in Section 3528 for unprofessional conduct that includes, but is not limited to, a violation of this chapter, a violation of the Medical Practice Act, or a violation of the regulations adopted by the board.
- (b) The board may order the denial of an application for, or the suspension or revocation of, or the imposition of probationary conditions upon, an approved program after a hearing as required in Section 3528 for a violation of this chapter or the regulations adopted pursuant thereto.

. .

- (d) The board may order the licensee to pay the costs of monitoring the probationary conditions imposed on the license.
- (e) The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a PA license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license.
- 7. Section 118, subdivision (b), of the Code provides that the suspension/expiration/surrender/cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board/Registrar/Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.

### 

#### MEDICAL PRACTICE ACT

8. Section 2234 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conducts includes, but is not limited to, the following:

(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.

. . .

- (f) Any action or conduct that would have warranted the denial of a certificate.
- 9. Section 2239 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- (a) The use or prescribing for or administering to himself or herself, of any controlled substance; or the use of any of the dangerous drugs specified in Section 4022, or of alcoholic beverages, to the extent, or in such a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to the licensee, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that such use impairs the ability of the licensee to practice medicine safely or more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any of the substances referred to in this section, or any combination thereof, constitutes unprofessional conduct. The record of the conviction is conclusive evidence of such unprofessional conduct.
- (b) A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section. The Division of Medical Quality may order discipline of the licensee in accordance with Section 2227 or the Division of Licensing may order the denial of the license when the time for appeal has elapsed or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under the provisions of Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing such person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, complaint, information, or indictment.

#### **REGULATORY PROVISIONS**

- 10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.521, states, in pertinent part: In addition to the grounds set forth in section 3527, subd. (a), of the code the board may deny, issue, subject to terms and conditions, suspend, revoke or place on probation a physician assistant for the following causes:
- (a) Any violation of the State Medical Practice Act which would constitute unprofessional conduct for a physician and surgeon.
  - 11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.525, states:
- (a) For the purposes of the denial, suspension or revocation of a license pursuant to division 1.5 (commencing with section 475) of the code, a crime, professional misconduct, or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a person holding a license under the Physician Assistant Practice Act if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a person holding such a license to perform the functions authorized by the license in a manner consistent with the public health, safety or welfare.
- (b) In making the substantial relationship determination required under subdivision (a) for a crime, the board shall consider the following criteria:
  - (1) The nature and gravity of the offense;
  - (2) The number of years elapsed since the date of the offense; and
  - (3) The nature and duties of a physician assistant.
- (c) For purposes of subdivision (a), substantially related crimes, professional misconduct, or acts shall include, but are not limited to, the following:
- (1) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision or term of the Medical Practice Act.
- (2) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision or term of the Physician Assistant Practice Act.
  - (5) Any crime, professional misconduct, or act involving the sale, gift, administration,

or furnishing of narcotics or dangerous drugs or dangerous devises, as defined in Section 4022 of the code.

. .

(9) Conviction for driving under the influence of drugs or alcohol.

#### **COST RECOVERY**

12. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 13. On or about April 18, 2022, the Bakersfield Police Department received a call notifying them about a possible intoxicated driver who while driving the wrong way on a street, collided with multiple vehicles near the intersection of University Avenue and Mt. Vernon Avenue in Bakersfield, California. Upon arrival at the scene at approximately 12:48 p.m., a police officer made contact with Respondent's vehicle and identified Respondent as the intoxicated driver. The police officer observed alcoholic beverages (an open container in the center console and a full container in the passenger seat) inside the vehicle. A police officer noticed Respondent "seated on the curb and she appeared to be swaying and almost falling over as she sat." Upon speaking to her, the police officer was able to smell the odor of alcohol emanating from Respondent's breath and person, and observed that Respondent's speech was slurred. Respondent admitted to the police officer that she was driving the vehicle identified as the vehicle which caused the accidents. The police officer attempted to administer certain field sobriety tests to Respondent, but based upon her condition, Respondent was not able to complete these tests.
- 14. At approximately 1:31 p.m. and 1:34 p.m. Respondent underwent preliminary alcohol screening tests. The results revealed blood alcohol concentrations of 0.302 and 0.310, respectively.

- 15. A police officer prepared a diagram of Respondent's vehicle's collision with other vehicles. Respondent admitted that she had consumed half a can of "White Claw."
- 16. The driver of a vehicle that Respondent's vehicle collided with observed just before the accident that Respondent attempted to make a turn, but was too wide and came into her lane and collided with her vehicle.
- 17. A witness at the scene of the accident reported that Respondent was "swerving all over the place and suddenly [drove over] the center median traveling northbound in the southbound lanes of Mt. Vernon Avenue." The witness followed Respondent's vehicle and approached the driver's side of the car. The witness then noticed an alcoholic beverage in the center console and observed that Respondent appeared to be falling asleep. Believing Respondent to be heavily intoxicated, the witness removed the keys from the vehicle so Respondent could no longer drive.
- 18. Based on Respondent being involved in a collision, driving the wrong way, being unable to recall being involved in a collision, displaying red watery eyes, slurred speech, unsteady gait, and having the odor of an alcoholic beverage on her breath and person, a police officer determined that Respondent was too impaired to safely operate her motor vehicle at the time she was driving and arrested her for violating Vehicle Code sections 23152, subdivision (a) [driving while under the influence],and 23152, subdivision (b) [driving under the influence with a blood alcohol concentration above 0.08%], and 16028, subdivision (a)[No insurance].
- 19. On or about June 29, 2022, an investigator with the Health Quality Investigations
  Unit of the Department of Consumer Affairs interviewed Respondent. During the interview,
  Respondent stated that she was scheduled to work and see patients on April 18, 2022, but that she
  called her place of employment to advise them that she would not be coming in due to family
  issues. She also stated that she takes gabapentin<sup>1</sup> and that this may amplify the effect of alcohol

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Gabapentin" is an anticonvulsant medication used to treat partial seizures, neuropathic pain, hot flashes, and restless legs syndrome. It is recommended as one of a number of first-line medications for the treatment of neuropathic pain caused by diabetic neuropathy, postherpetic neuralgia, and central neuropathic pain. It is sold under the brand name Neurontin®, among others. It can have potentially harmful effects when combined with opioids. It is a dangerous drug as defined in Code section 4022.

1	and may have contributed to the collision.		
2	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
3	(Use of Dangerous Drugs and/or Alcohol to		
4	an Extent Dangerous or Injurious to Herself or Others)		
5	20. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 3527 and 2239 and		
6	California Code of Regulations, title 16, sections 1399.521 and 1399.525, in that Respondent		
7	used, consumed, and/or self-administered dangerous drugs and/or alcoholic beverages, in a		
8	manner dangerous or injurious to Respondent and others, and/or to the extent that such use		
9	impaired Respondent's ability to practice medicine safely. The circumstances are as follows:		
0	21. Complainant refers to and, by this reference, incorporates paragraphs 13 through 19		
1	inclusive, above, as though set forth fully herein.		
12	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
13	(Unprofessional Conduct)		
14	22. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 3527 and 2234 in		
15	that Respondent engaged in general unprofessional conduct.		
16	23. The allegations contained in the First Cause for Discipline are incorporated herein by		
۱7	reference as if fully set forth.		
18	PRAYER		
19	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,		
20	and that following the hearing, the Physician Assistant Board issue a decision:		
21	1. Revoking or suspending Physician Assistant Number PA 13958, issued to Sandi Lee		
22	Altmiller, P.A.;		
23	2. Ordering Sandi Lee Altmiller, P.A. to pay the Physician Assistant Board the		
24	reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and		
25	Professions Code section 125.3;		
26	///		
27	///		
28	111		
	lacksquare		

			İ
1	3.	Ordering Sandi Lee Altm	iller, P.A., if placed on probation, to pay the Physician
2	Assistant Board the costs of probation monitoring; and,		
3	4.	Taking such other and fur	rther action as deemed necessary and proper.
4			
5	DATED:	September 23, 2022	Rojana Khan
6			ROZANA KHAN Executive Officer
7			Physician Assistant Board Department of Consumer Affairs
8			State of California  Complainant
9			
10	LA20226024		
11	65411042_2.	docx	
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21 22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
			8